PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/010202 13.09.2004 16.09.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B23Q17/22, B23Q17/24, G01B11/00, G01B11/02 MARPOSS SOCIETA' PER AZIONI This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer**



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Lasa, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2641



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE - INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/010202

_			MARSONALA	100				
_	Box I	No. I Basis of the opinion	- Will Control of the					
1.	 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 							
	□ T la (u	his opinion has been established on the basis of a trans anguage , which is the language of a translation furnis under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	lation from the original langu hed for the purposes of inter	uage into the following rnational search				
2.	With r neces	l application and						
		a. type of material:						
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. form	b. format of material:						
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
c. time of filing/furnishing:								
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in compl	uter readable form					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purpose						
3.	co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does propriate, were furnished.	of a sequence listing and/or le information in the subsequence not go beyond the application	table relating thereto uent or additional on as filed, as				
١.	. Additional comments:							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/010202

_	Po	x No. II	Dalouit		 				
_	БО	X NO. II	Priority						
1. ☑ The following document has not been furnished:									
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).								
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.									
		ider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has tion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.							
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
4.	Additional observations, if necessary:								
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step									
_	ind	industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.	Stat	tement							
	Nov	Novelty (N)		Yes:	Claims	1-12			
				No:	Claims	13			
	Inve	ventive step (IS)		Yes:	Claims	1-12			
				No:	Claims	13			
	Indu	ıstrial ap	plicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13			
2.	Cita	tions and	d explanations						

1.1

see separate sheet



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/010202

Bit Com

1) Cited documents

D1: US-A-3 749 500

2) Novelty

Claim 13:

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 13 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 (see Fig. 1 and 17) discloses a machine for checking the position of a mechanical part by means of a laser beam in Y direction, comprising means for moving the part relatively to the laser beam in X and Z directions. The machine has a control unit that can be programmed for controlling the steps of a method according to claim 12.

Claim 13 does not define any apparatus feature that makes its system new against the one dislcosed in D1.

Claims 1-12:

The methods according to claims 1 to 12 are new and inventive.

They allow to check rapidly and reliably the position of a part along one direction, without knowing a priori the position of the part.